

San Diego Regional Stormwater Copermittees Regional Program Planning Subcommittee

Meeting Notes

Chairperson Stephanie Gaines

1
2

Date / Time	Agenda Summary
05/18/2017 Start time: 1:30 PM End time: 3:30 PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting Summary • Old Business • Report of Waste Discharge Update • Regional Budget Update • Trash Investigative Order • Land Development Workgroup Update • Ad Hoc Committee Updates • Legislative Update • Announcements • Next Meeting
Location	
County of San Diego 5510 Overland Avenue, 4th Floor, A-451, Large Conference Room San Diego, CA 92123	

3

Voting Members in Attendance: (one vote per watershed)		Number of Voting Copermittees at this Meeting: 7	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Santa Margarita Watershed:</i> County of San Diego Stephanie Gaines Ruth de la Rosa Joanna Wisniewska Jo Ann Weber Mike Watt	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Mission Bay Watershed:</i> City of San Diego Clem Brown via phone Andre Sonksen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Carlsbad Watershed:</i> City of San Marcos Reed Thornberry via phone	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Los Peñasquitos Watershed</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Dieguito Watershed:</i> City of Escondido Alicia Appel	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>San Luis Rey Watershed</i>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Diego River Watershed:</i> City of Santee Cecilia Tipton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Diego Bay Watershed:</i> City of Chula Vista Boushra Salem	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Tijuana Watershed:</i> City of Imperial Beach Wbaldo Arellano	

Note: San Diego River Watershed arrived after the vote on March meeting notes.

Non-Voting Members and Members of the Public in Attendance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Chula Vista Boushra Salem	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Coronado Jim Newton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Del Mar and Solana Beach Kelly Ogawa Amanda Nelson
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of El Cajon John Phillips	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of La Mesa Joe Kuhn	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Vista Cheryl Filar
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Port of San Diego Stephanie Bauer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Larry Walker Associates Paul Hartman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMEC Matt Rich
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Secretary Morgan Weintraub (Michael Baker International)		

4

1 **1. Call to Order**

2 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) called the meeting to order.

3 **2. Roll Call**

4 Stephanie completed roll call for voting members.

5 **3. Time for public to speak on items not on the agenda**

6 No members of the public requested time to speak about items not on the agenda.

7 **4. Meeting Summary from April 20, 2017**

8 The following changes were requested and made to the April 20, 2017, meeting
9 summary:

- 10 • Page 3, line 18: change “if” to “is”
- 11 • Page 3, line 36: change “Oceanside” to “Coronado”
- 12 • Page 5, line 27: change “available” to “eligible”

13 **MOTION: Approve the April 20, 2017, meeting summary as amended. (APPROVED)**

- 14 ♦ Moved by: Boushra Salem (San Diego Bay Watershed)
- 15 ♦ Seconded by: Cecilia Tipton (San Diego Watershed)
- 16 ♦ Vote: 7-0 in favor

17 **5. Old Business**

18 Review of actions assigned from the previous meeting to ensure actions are completed.

19 *Action Item 1:* Email the education/outreach materials table to the PPS.

20 Done.

21 *Action Item 2:* Coordinate with Cecilia Tipton and John Quenzer to ensure the final
22 deliverables of the watershed stewardship program are available electronically.

23 Coordination is being done for the Watershed Stewardship Program. The City of
24 Santee wants to start the program this summer while kids are out of school. The
25 presentation is being finalized and applications will be sent out to potential
26 participants.

27 *Action Item 3:* Contact Joanna Wisniewska if the login information for Project Clean
28 Water was not received.

29 Joanna is the contact for Project Clean Water, including technical questions and
30 log in information.

1 *Action Item 4:* Send LWA JRMP update requests by 4/21, Section 4 comments by 5/12,
2 and Section 5 information by 5/12.

3 Updates were received from one jurisdiction for the JRMP section of the ROWD.
4 For Section 4, six or seven sets of comments were received. For Section 5,
5 information on the copper TMDL was received from the City of San Diego.

6 *Action Item 5:* Write-up regarding concerns regarding enforcement reporting time
7 limitations.

8 Helen provided the write-up.

9 *Action Item 6:* Write-up regarding authorized discharges.

10 Rosanna provided the write-up.

11 *Action Item 7:* Send any other ideas for use of additional funds (from LDW) to Stephanie
12 Gaines.

13 Stephanie did not receive any other ideas for the use of funds.

14 *Action Item 8:* Contact Joanna Wisniewska if you would like to participate on the PCW
15 Ad Hoc committee.

16 Joanna did not hear from any new members for the PCW AD Hoc Committee.

17 **6. Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD)**

18 ROWD Progress

19 The Copermittee workshops for ROWD development are complete. Representatives met
20 with Regional Board Staff on April 6, 2017. The consultant team received input from one
21 jurisdiction for the JRMP Modifications section (S3); input was due April 21, 2017. As
22 scheduled, the Permit Modifications section (S4) was drafted by April 24, 2017. ROWD
23 draft sections have been submitted to the Copermittees for review, and comments have
24 been received. The TMDLs and New Regulations section (S5) draft is progressing.

25 As previously determined, the Permit Modifications section (S4) discusses the top three
26 key issues in the body of the report. The approach is to define the issue, recommend an
27 alternative, and, where feasible, provide redline Permit language. There are
28 approximately 90 other issues that will be included in the appendix.

29 Key Comments

30 The consultant summarized the key comments received on ROWD draft sections.

31 Reporting Section: Copermittees have asked if cost estimates can be provided for
32 reporting, requested types of assessments be described within each report, and have
33 provided comments on TMDL reporting. Copermittees have commented that this may
34 be supportive but may not be a priority.

35 Monitoring Section: Copermittees have requested more detail on what a customized
36 program would look like, citing Loma Alta as a good example. Copermittees suggested

1 the Monitoring and Assessment Program align better with the WQIP Priorities and
2 Goals, and suggested revisions to assessment recommendations. Copermittees believe
3 this is a high priority, key issue.

4 Jurisdictional implementation: comments were received that some Copermittees may
5 not support the recommended changes with regard to inspections; that the number of
6 recommendations should be reduced; and that a key issue is the Alternative Compliance
7 Program versus receiving water language risk.

8 Discussion

9 JoAnn explained the ROWD key asks have to start at a higher level; the key is to lean on
10 the monitoring framework. It is easy to make the argument that Provision D programs
11 are not adequate. It's ok to receive input, but we need to justify the approach.

12 Paul described the next steps are to pull revisions together and determine how to
13 engage with Brock (Bernstein). The goal is to provide a complete document in
14 September.

15 JoAnn shared that she is receiving more and more data requests. MS4 monitoring will
16 not be changed for wet weather. The frequency and monitoring will remain the same.
17 Changes would only be made to the assessment. There is not enough evidence to
18 warrant changes to frequency and monitoring. The extensive assessments would be
19 done on a five-year cycle.

20 Land Development

21 The Land Development section of the ROWD is nearly complete. The consultant team
22 does not need any more information, and the justification with regard to land
23 development issues are sound. Redline Permit language will be provided in the
24 appendix.

25 The City of San Diego commented that the development of an alternative compliance
26 program could potentially violate Permit Finding 7 (receiving water limitations
27 language). The City has been actively working on this issue, independently.

28 Other Comments

29 The City of San Diego has been looking into issues addressing an Integrated Planning
30 Framework. This would combine wastewater, sanitary sewer, and storm water planning,
31 resulting in a cumulative cost financial capability analysis that could be used to prioritize
32 projects. The City is willing to provide the narrative and analysis for including this in the
33 ROWD. The location of where the language would fit in the Permit is still under
34 discussion.

35 JoAnn asked if the ROWD would move forward with recommended changes to
36 inspections. Paul responded that they would develop a top tier (narrowed down)
37 version to include in the report.

1 2 Month Look Ahead:

Responsible Group	May	June
Consultant Team	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S2 (WQIP) Draft – to CoP 5/22 • S3 (JRMP) Draft – w/complete draft • S4 (Permit) – Revisions • S5 (New Regs) – Drafting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S4 Revisions – w/complete draft • S5 Draft – to Copermittees 6/9
Copermittees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WMA Sections – Final Drafts • S2 Comments – begin review • S4 Comments – due 5/12 • S5 Information – due 5/12 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S2 Comments – due 6/9 • S5 Comments – due 6/23
All	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPS • Section 4 Discussion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PPS • Section 4 Discussion

2

3 **7. Regional Budget Update**

4 Some of the funds made available by the Land Development Workgroup can be carried
5 forward and used for the Stormwater Capture Feasibility Study. The County of San Diego
6 has already committed to paying for the study, but will develop a special cost sharing
7 agreement for those who wish to participate financially in the study. The previous
8 recommendation for the regional budget was to carry forward just over \$79,000 to
9 FY17-18. The study will analyze the feasibility of stormwater capture and use over the
10 entire San Diego region, and will identify opportunities and constraints. Since the study
11 was scoped, the project team has received feedback on the scope, and there may be
12 some tasks we would like to add. For example, the County may want to work closely
13 with the City of San Diego on their Integrated Planning framework, which is not
14 currently in the scope of work.

15 **8. Trash Investigative Order Update**

16 The Trash IO is expected to come out by June 2. Staff recommendations, per comments
17 submitted, include removing the requirement to address homelessness and not making
18 the IO watershed based. TMDLs are anticipated on June 9. New language should be
19 developed based on what the Executive Order states.

20 **9. Land Development Workgroup Update**

21 San Diego Hydrology Model training will be May 24 and 25. Registration for
22 municipalities is \$75 per person. The next Land Development Meeting is June 1, 2017.

1 **10. Ad Hoc Committee Updates**

2 Regional Trash Study

3 Tracy Cline provided an update and reported the study is almost complete.

4 Regional Monitoring and Assessment Report

5 Joanna shared the draft report is ready and will be distributed to the Copermittees for
6 review by the end of next week. The final draft will be released in July.

7 Education and Residential Sources Ad Hoc Committee

8 The ERS workgroup has agreed on rotating leads to facilitate meetings. The City of San
9 Diego will lead the workgroup until the end of the year. Movies at the Park will be
10 showing the movie “All the Way to the Ocean.” There are funds available to purchase
11 promotional items. Previously, these purchases were made through a separate
12 workgroup. The process for purchasing these items through the Education and
13 Residential Sources Ad Hoc Committee will be discussed with the committee.

14 Regional Storm Water Resource Plan Development

15 Ruth reported that the concurrence letter from the State was received. The Plan meets
16 all codes.

17 Project Clean Water

18 Joanna reported there are no updates since last meeting.

19 **11. Legislative Update**

20 The US EPA held a conference call on Waters of the United States. Over 55,000
21 comments were received, and, of those, 95% stated that the rule should remain the
22 same.

23 The latest CASQA Update included notice that the South Orange County (SOC) Water
24 Quality Improvement Plan Review is taking a different approach. If there is interest, this
25 can be discussed at a future PPS meeting.

26 Joe reported that attorneys have been sending invoices for unfunded mandates.

27 **12. Announcements**

28 The Stormwater Capture and Use Feasibility Study needs to be complete by December
29 2018. A schedule that includes an outreach plan and workshops for the public is being
30 formed. The steps include data collection, feasibility analysis, implementation, and cost
31 benefit analysis.

32 The Water Reliability Coalition is having a workshop on June 29. This will be their third
33 workshop and will present case studies.

1 **13. Next Meeting:**

2 The next meeting may include a presentation from the County of Orange on their
3 approach to Water Quality Improvement Plan Review.

4 Next Regional Management Committee Meeting:

- 5 • Thursday, June 15th 1:30-3:30

Action Item		Responsible Party
1	Stormwater Capture Feasibility Study; the jurisdictions who wish to participate in cost sharing the study will reply via email.	Stephanie
2	ERS Workgroup; Via email, decide on promotional items to be purchased.	Stephanie
3	Send email on new legislative information to Copermittees.	Stephanie
4	Provide information on the Industrial General Permit.	Andre

6

7