

A.0	PROGRAM HISTORY	1
A.1	Monitoring Program History.....	1
A.1.1	1993-1994 Objectives and Key Elements.....	1
A.1.2	1994-1995 Objectives and Key Elements.....	2
A.1.3	1995-1996 Objectives and Key Elements.....	3
A.1.4	1996 – 2000 Objectives and Key Elements	6
A.1.5	2000-2001 Objectives and Key Elements.....	7
A.1.6	2001-2002 Objectives and Key Elements.....	7
	A.1.6.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations	8
	A.1.6.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring	9
	A.1.6.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring.....	9
	A.1.6.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay	10
	A.1.6.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring	10
	A.1.6.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring.....	10
A.1.7	2002 – 2003 Objectives and Key Elements	11
	A.1.7.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations	11
	A.1.7.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring	11
	A.1.7.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring.....	12
	A.1.7.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay	12
	A.1.7.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring.....	12
	A.1.7.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring.....	12
A.1.8	2003 – 2004 Objectives and Key Elements	12
	A.1.8.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations	12
	A.1.8.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring	13
	A.1.8.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring.....	13
	A.1.8.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay	13
	A.1.8.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring.....	13
	A.1.8.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring.....	14
A.1.9	2004 – 2005 Objectives and Key Elements	14
	A.1.9.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations	14
	A.1.9.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring	14
	A.1.9.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring.....	15
	A.1.9.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay	15
	A.1.9.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring.....	15
	A.1.9.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring.....	15
A.1.10	2005 – 2006 Objectives and Key Elements	15
	A.1.10.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations.....	15
	A.1.10.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring	16
	A.1.10.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring.....	16
	A.1.10.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay	17

A.1.10.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring..... 17
A.1.10.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening
Monitoring 17
A.1.11 2006-2007 Objectives and Key Elements..... 17
A.1.11.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading
Stations..... 17
A.1.11.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water
Monitoring 18
A.1.11.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring..... 18
A.1.11.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay 19
A.1.11.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring..... 19
A.1.11.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening
Monitoring 19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure A-1. Wet Weather Monitoring Stations for 1993 Through 2007. 21

LIST OF TABLES

Table A-1. Analytical Requirements for Each Type of Monitoring Site as Specified in
RWQCB Order 95-76 (Woodward-Clyde, 1998). 5
Table A-2. Wet Weather Monitoring Stations 1993-1994 Through 2006-2007. 20

A.0 PROGRAM HISTORY

A.1 Monitoring Program History

The San Diego Regional Storm Water Monitoring Program was first mandated by the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) under Order No. 90-42, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit CA 0108758 issued on July 16, 1990 and implemented in 1993-1994. This permit was scheduled to expire on July 15, 1995; however, coverage was extended pending the issuance of a new permit. To facilitate continuation of monitoring activities, revised monitoring requirements were published on October 31, 1995 under the RWQCB Monitoring and Reporting Program Order No. 95-76. Alterations to Order No. 95-76 were made through Technical Change Order No. 1, published in January 1996. Changes to the program implemented during wet-weather season 1998/99 were authorized under Technical Change Order No. 2, dated December 3, 1998. Technical Change Order No. 3 addressed changes to the program during the 1998/1999 wet-weather season. Technical Change Order No. 4 documents program changes for the 1999/2000 wet-weather monitoring effort. On February 21, 2001 the RWQCB adopted Order No. 2001-01 as the permit for the region. This permit was scheduled to expire on February 21, 2006. The County of San Diego and Regional Copermittees submitted a Report of Waste Discharge on August 25, 2005. However, due to a delay in the issuance of the new permit, coverage was extended one additional year under Order No. 2001-01. The RWQCB adopted the new permit (Order No. R9-2007-0001) on January 24, 2007. Monitoring under the new permit will begin during the 2007-2008 monitoring period.

The following is a chronological summary of the MS4 permit compliance monitoring conducted in the San Diego region. Summaries from the monitoring years 1993 through 2000 were derived from the *Previous Storm Water Monitoring and Future Recommendations* Report (MEC, 2001). Summaries from subsequent monitoring years have been incrementally added with each monitoring report. Emphasis is placed on reviewing the progression of monitoring objectives and methodologies.

A.1.1 1993-1994 Objectives and Key Elements

This was the first year of MS4 permit monitoring conducted in San Diego County. The primary objective of monitoring conducted during this permit compliance period under the storm water monitoring program was to measure pollutant concentrations and provide preliminary estimates of pollutant loads for use in establishing storm water management program priorities (Kinnetics Laboratories, 1994).

The first year's monitoring program was designed by a task force of the Copermittees, and approved by the RWQCB. Storm water monitoring in the 1993-1994 season was performed at 15 sites. Each of these sites used flow-composited storm water monitoring stations. Six sites with relatively small catchments and one dominant land use per drainage were classified as land use stations. Different land uses that were evaluated include residential, commercial, industrial, and construction. The purpose of these land use stations was to identify the concentration of constituents of concern (COC) that result from the net effect of activities within each different

Program History

land use type. Two construction sites and seven mass loading stations (MLS) were also monitored in the 1993-1994 season. These MLS were selected to directly measure pollutant loading for typical storm events from major watersheds. Criteria for selecting which watersheds would be monitored included choosing those with more populated urban portions of the region and those jurisdictions that discharge into the bays and coastal ocean waters.

Land use sampling station sites included (Also depicted on Figure 1-1):

- ◆ Residential Sites – Jeremy and Park
- ◆ Commercial Sites – Wal-Mart and Yuma
- ◆ Industrial Sites – Vernon and Yarrow
- ◆ Construction Sites – Top Gun and Proctor

Mass loading sites included (Also depicted on Figure 1-1):

- ◆ Carroll
- ◆ Rose
- ◆ Tecolote
- ◆ San Diego River
- ◆ Switzer
- ◆ Chollas
- ◆ Otay

The primary variable used to assess constituent concentrations from storm water data collected during the 1993-1994 season was the event mean concentration (EMC). The EMC was defined as the total pollutant load divided by the total runoff volume per storm event. EMC estimates were directly assessed by measurement of constituent concentrations in the flow-weighted composite samples, which is a mechanical technique of obtaining the values. The 1993-1994 monitoring program mandated collection of two storm events at each of the 15 sites.

The storm water data collected in the 1993-1994 season was compared against the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program (NURP) data (EPA, 1983), land use water quality data from other regional studies in California, and water quality objectives adopted in the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Inland Surface Waters of California* (ISWP) (SWRCB, 1991). The results of the land use monitoring in San Diego County showed:

- ◆ Lead concentrations in San Diego County were lower than NURP estimates, while the concentrations of most other metals were comparable to the results of NURP sites.
- ◆ San Diego County nutrient data was elevated compared to similar regional sites.
- ◆ Concentrations of bacterial indicators were high, but in line with NURP data.

A.1.2 1994-1995 Objectives and Key Elements

The RWQCB issued a new Monitoring and Reporting Program on June 30, 1994 for the second year of the San Diego Regional Storm Water Monitoring Program. This monitoring program was similar to the first year's program, but included additional land use stations and eliminated some of the previous mass loading stations. Toxicity testing and analysis of sediments in

Program History

Chollas Creek and nearby San Diego Bay were added, while construction site monitoring was eliminated from the program.

The primary objectives of the storm water monitoring program for the 1994-1995 season (Kinnetics Laboratories, 1995) were:

- ◆ Measure pollutant loadings during typical storm events from highly urbanized San Diego area watersheds that discharge directly into bay, estuary, and stream receiving waters to evaluate area-wide contributions of storm water pollutant loadings to receiving waters.
- ◆ Characterize storm water runoff discharges from small, relatively homogeneous drainages identified as representative of residential, commercial, and industrial land use activities within San Diego County to identify and monitor the effectiveness of specific best management practices (BMPs) associated with land use activities (however, these specific BMPs are not addressed in the final report).
- ◆ Investigate receiving water impacts by measuring aquatic toxicity of storm water runoff and sediments in San Diego Bay at the discharge area of one of the urban creeks (Chollas Creek).

While the 1993-1994 monitoring program mandated collection of two storm events at each of the 15 sites, the 1994-1995 monitoring program required three storm events at each of the 12 locations chosen for monitoring. Flow-weighted composites were taken and discharge volumes for each storm event were recorded. Grab samples were collected for measuring constituents in storm water not amenable to composite sampling techniques and EMC were calculated for each constituent.

Similar to the 1993-1994 season, data from the 1994-1995 season were compared against NURP data, land use water quality data from other regions, and water quality objectives. In addition, sediment samples from four locations within Chollas Creek and in San Diego Bay at the mouth of Chollas Creek were evaluated for physical, chemical, and toxicity attributes. New land use sites included Landis (residential), Bramson (commercial), and Crosby (industrial).

The findings of the land use monitoring were similar to those in 1993-1994 with the addition that mean EMC data from San Diego County for copper were lower than NURP values. Nitrogen concentrations that were slightly elevated in 1993-1994 were found at lower concentrations in 1994-1995 and these concentrations were consistent with NURP data in 1994-1995.

A.1.3 1995-1996 Objectives and Key Elements

Storm water monitoring requirements for the 1995-1996 season were published on October 31, 1995 under tentative RWQCB Order No. 95-76. The objective of the monitoring and reporting program was to characterize storm water pollutant loading and concentrations, including long-term trends during the wet-weather season, which was defined as October 1 to April 30 of each year (Woodward-Clyde, 1996).

Program History

The 1995-1996 monitoring program consisted of sampling three storm events at 12 stations. Of these stations, nine were land use sites and three were Mass Loading Station (MLS) sites. The nine land use sites were clustered in three geographic areas including North County, South County, and a heavily populated urban area of the City of San Diego. The MLS were located at Tecolote, Switzer, and Chollas Creeks. The list of analytes specified in Order No. 95-76 included many of those chemicals listed under 40 CFR 122, Appendix D, Table II and III, as well as pollutant indicators listed in 40 CFR 122.26(d)(2)(iii)(A)(3). Those analytical parameters are listed in Table A-1. For the 1995-1996 wet-weather season, Technical Change Order No. 1 authorized the analysis for Total Recoverable Metals instead of Dissolved Metals.

Pre- and post-wet season sediment samples were taken upstream of the MLS location in Chollas Creek and at the mouth of Chollas Creek in San Diego Bay to evaluate storm water effects on the receiving water environment by assessing bottom sediments. Samples were collected within the two-week periods prior to October 1, 1995 and after April 30, 1996 in accordance with tentative RWQCB Order No 95-76. Physical, chemical, and toxicological analyses were performed on the sediment samples as specified in the RWQCB Order.

Table A-1. Analytical Requirements for Each Type of Monitoring Site as Specified in RWQCB Order 95-76 (Woodward-Clyde, 1998).

Constituent	Monitored Station Designation		
	Land Use	Mass Loading	Creek and Bay Sediments
General Physical and Inorganic Non-Metals	●	●	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	●	●	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	●	●	
Turbidity	●	●	
Total Hardness	●	●	
pH	●	●	
Specific Conductance	●	●	
Temperature	●	●	
Total Phosphorus	●	●	
Dissolved Phosphorus	●	●	
Nitrate and Nitrite	●	●	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	●	●	
Ammonia	●	●	
Total Cyanide	●	●	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day	●	●	
Chemical Oxygen Demand	●	●	
Percent Solids			●
Grain Size			●
Organics			
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	●		●
Oil and Grease	●	●	
Total Phenols	●	●	
Acid/Base/Neutral Extractable Compounds	●	●	●
Chlorinated Pesticides	●	●	●
Methylene Blue Active Substances	●	●	
Total Organic Carbon			●
Metals, Total Recoverable			
Antimony	●	●	
Arsenic	●	●	●
Beryllium	●	●	
Cadmium	●	●	●
Chromium	●	●	●
Copper	●	●	●
Lead	●	●	●
Mercury	●	●	●
Nickel	●	●	●
Selenium	●	●	
Silver	●	●	●
Thallium	●	●	
Zinc	●	●	●
Bacteriological			
Total Coliform	●	●	
Fecal Coliform	●	●	
Fecal Streptococcus	●	●	
Toxicity			
<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>		●	
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>		●	
<i>Eohaustorius estuarius</i>			●

Program History

A.1.4 1996 – 2000 Objectives and Key Elements

The objectives of the Copermittee storm water monitoring program did not change significantly from those defined for the 1995-1996 permit year over the period covering 1996 through 2000. Changes in the administration of the program from 1996 through 2000 are summarized below.

- ◆ In 1996-1997, storm water monitoring was conducted at the previous nine land use stations and four MLS. Two of the MLS were monitored in the previous years. A new MLS on Chollas Creek replaced one that was tidally influenced, and new MLS were added at California Creek and Los Peñasquitos Creek at Sorrento Valley at the request of the RWQCB. The Switzer Creek location was eliminated because it was tidally influenced. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) were analyzed using ultra-low detection limits during the 1996-1997 wet-weather monitoring season as a one-time study to quantify the levels present in storm water runoff. The levels were generally one order of magnitude lower than those measured by the U.S. Navy in Paleta Creek and the Sweetwater River. The ultra-low detection limit PAH analysis was discontinued in subsequent years primarily because it was intended as a special study and the results did not warrant its continuation.
- ◆ The 1997-1998 monitoring program consisted of pre- and post-season sediment sampling at two locations and storm water sampling during rain events at 12 locations in San Diego County. The eight land use stations and four MLS monitored in 1997-1998 were the same stations monitored in 1996-1997.
- ◆ In 1998-1999, the scope of the storm water monitoring program was changed significantly based on discussions and research by a Storm Water Monitoring Working Group of Copermittees that met during September and October in 1998 and again in the fall of 1999. The Storm Water Monitoring Program Work Group evaluated new ways to obtain useful data by conducting focused monitoring for wet-weather season 1999-2000. Recommendations from the group are documented in Technical Change Order Nos. 2 and 3 included:
 - Elimination of eight land use stations from the program, as the previous five years of monitoring data were determined to be adequate for modeling purposes. Monitoring was conducted at the four MLS monitored in the previous year. A fifth MLS (AH1 – Agua Hedionda), which also served as a bacteria monitoring station, and four other bacteria monitoring stations were added to the program for a focused monitoring study in the Agua Hedionda watershed to determine sources of fecal contamination in runoff.
 - Elimination of constituents that were non-detect in 90 percent or more of the samples analyzed (included most semivolatile organic compounds, all organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), total cyanide, total beryllium, silver, mercury, and thallium).
 - Addition of Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos as new parameters.
 - The amphipod *Hyalella azteca* was used when performing toxicity tests in lieu of the fish *Pimephales promelas*.

Program History

- ◆ For the 1999-2000 wet-weather season, the RWQCB approved the proposed program in Technical Change Order No. 4 to the Monitoring and Reporting Order 95-76 dated November 5, 1999. This provided for additional studies of Diazinon usage in San Diego County and follow-up bacterial source tracking studies in Agua Hedionda Lagoon and Watershed.

A.1.5 2000-2001 Objectives and Key Elements

The storm water monitoring conducted in the 2000-2001 storm season was conducted under Order 95-76; however, Order 2001-01 was imminent and the Copermittees had several coordination meetings with the RWQCB to discuss the monitoring scope for the wet season. The Copermittees proposed a historical and statistical review of all prior years' monitoring results. This review was to include recommendations for the design of the next five years of monitoring [refer to the *Previous Storm Water Monitoring and Future Recommendations Report* (MEC, 2001)].

Until results of the historical review and design of the next five years of monitoring were completed, the MLS wet-weather monitoring sites were retained at the same five MLS as the prior year. The RWQCB requested the addition of stream health indicator studies at 23 stations pursuant to the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Rapid Stream Bioassessment (RSB) Monitoring Program to supplement on-going monitoring in other areas of the County by the CDFG.

Sediment sampling at Chollas Creek both upstream and in San Diego Bay was conducted in October prior to the wet season. Post-wet season sampling in Chollas Creek and San Diego Bay was not conducted in order to allow Copermittees to provide sampling in support of the San Diego Bay Toxic Hot Spot Work Group (THSWG). The group was working on a monitoring study design to be conducted by SCCWRP and the US Navy. The RWQCB agreed to allow the Copermittees to direct resources at providing supplemental monitoring to the THSWG in lieu of post-wet season monitoring in 2001. The Copermittee monitoring support to the THSWG consisted of the collection of fine grain accumulated sediments within upstream reaches of Chollas and Paleta Creeks to assess contaminants available for discharge to the Bay during storm events. The THSWG is discussed further in Section 1.2.6.4.

A.1.6 2001-2002 Objectives and Key Elements

Order 2001-01 was adopted in February 2001 and the 2001-2002 monitoring season was conducted under this document. The Copermittee's activities in the 2001-2002 monitoring year included the following activities. Details of each activity are provided in the following pages.

- ◆ Chemical and toxicity testing of storm water runoff from 12 MLS located within major watersheds of the County of San Diego
- ◆ Rapid stream bioassessment surveys at 23 stations in Fall 2001 and Spring 2002
- ◆ Development of an ambient bay and lagoon monitoring program using information from other bay and lagoon monitoring studies performed in southern California
- ◆ Coastal outfall monitoring

Program History

- ◆ Toxic hot spot monitoring
- ◆ Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring.

The primary objective of this monitoring program was to determine the ecological health of receiving waters in the region based on chemical, physical, and biological evidence.

A.1.6.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Twelve MLS were monitored during the wet-weather season over three separate viable storm events. A storm event was considered viable with a minimum of 0.1 inch of rainfall. Per RWQCB guidance, each storm of at least 0.1 inch of rainfall was separated by a minimum of 72 hours of rainfall, and the forecasted storm volume was within $\pm 50\%$ of the average storm volume and duration for the region. The 12 MLS are located within the following streams:

Santa Margarita River	Tecolote Creek
San Luis Rey River	San Diego River
Agua Hedionda Creek	Chollas Creek
Escondido Creek	Sweetwater River
San Dieguito River	Otay River
Los Peñasquitos Creek	Tijuana River

The monitoring at Santa Margarita River was performed by the Navy Public Works Center under the supervision of Storm Water/Solid Waste Branch Head, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base for security reasons.

The MLS at Otay River did not receive any runoff during the 2001-2002 monitoring season, therefore this site was not sampled.

All sampling and analyses conducted at MLS were in accordance with applicable USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance. One flow-weighted composite was collected along with one grab sample at each station during each storm. Grab samples were generally taken after the first hour of increased flow during the storm.

The Santa Margarita and San Diego River monitoring stations were co-located with United States Geological Survey (USGS) flow measuring stations. Flow rates at the other stations were monitored using an American Sigma flow meter with an ultrasonic sensor and/or a submerged pressure transducer. The sensor continuously measured stage and relayed that information to the flow meter. The flow meter continually calculated flow rates by inserting the stage information into the preprogrammed discharge equation.

Field crews measured the flow rate of streams at stations not rated using USGS stream profiling guidelines prior to the beginning of the storm season and periodically throughout the storm season. This was accomplished by manual rating techniques using a hand-held flow meter. The resulting discharge rates were used to calculate a discharge equation, which was used by the flow monitoring equipment at some stations. Other stations used velocity/stage measurements to calculate discharge rates.

The flow-weighted composite water samples were analyzed for the following parameters:

Inorganic chemicals – Ammonia, chemical oxygen demand (COD), total and dissolved phosphorus, nitrate, nitrite, total hardness, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), turbidity, and methylene blue active substances (MBAS)

Metals (Total and Dissolved) – Antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, selenium, and zinc

Organophosphate pesticides – Diazinon and Chlorpyrifos

Toxicity Testing - Using *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, and *Hyalella azteca*

Grab samples were analyzed for the following parameters:

Temperature, pH, specific conductance, oil and grease, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total coliform, fecal coliform, and enterococcus

A.1.6.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The objective of the 2001-2002 ambient bay, lagoon, and coastal receiving water monitoring program was to design a program that would be implemented in the 2002-2003 monitoring year to assess the conditions in the receiving waters. The 2001-2002 effort focused on reviewing results from other studies conducted in lagoons and bays in southern California. This review provided initial information regarding monitoring methods and statistical designs to assist in developing an effective monitoring program for the Copermittee Storm Water Program.

A.1.6.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

This task monitored stream health pursuant to California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring (RSB) procedures.

Sampling and analysis of substrate samples for benthic infauna from each of 20 bioassessment monitoring stations and three reference stations (established in 2001) were conducted. Field measurements included pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), conductivity, flow rate, percent gradient, sampling area physiography, and overall assessment of physical habitat (e.g., vegetative cover and bank stability) at each station. Samples were analyzed pursuant to the CDFG procedure. A 10% quality assurance check was performed on taxonomic identification by the CDFG laboratory.

Sample data from all RSB Monitoring stations on the receiving waters within the jurisdictions of the Copermittees were analyzed. Multivariate assemblage analyses were conducted to simultaneously evaluate all the populations of benthic invertebrates to provide a relative assessment of ecological health.

Program History

A.1.6.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

The California Bay Protection and Toxic Cleanup Program (BPTCP) 1996 report identified areas of sediment contamination, benthic community impairment and toxicity to marine organisms in San Diego Bay. Based upon findings in the report, five specific areas in San Diego Bay were designated as toxic hot spots. Most of the areas lie at the outlets of creeks or storm drains, suggesting urban runoff may be contributing to sediment toxicity at these locations. Subsequently, four of the five sites were placed on the State's 303(d) list as impaired water bodies, leading to formal requirements for the establishment of TMDLs for those sites. In 1999, the U.S. Navy, the Port of San Diego, and the City of San Diego formed a partnership, the Toxic Hot Spot Work Group (THSWG), to begin addressing these areas of concern. Monitoring for those sites was designed to support the development of TMDLs. For program consistency and to avoid duplicative efforts, the monitoring required by the permit was conducted within the context of and included the active involvement of the THSWG.

The THSWG, working with the RWQCB and SCCWRP, developed a workplan to study two of the five toxic hotspots beginning in July 2001. This work was conducted by the U.S. Navy and SCCWRP and focused on evaluating sediment chemistry, sediment toxicity, and benthic communities at the discharges of Paleta and Chollas Creeks.

To augment the THSWG study, the Copermittees re-allocated monitoring efforts from the 2000-2001 wet-weather program's sediment monitoring of Chollas Creek. In lieu of conducting the second sediment sampling event at the mouth of Chollas Creek in San Diego Bay, sampling upstream at two locations in Paleta Creek and three upstream locations in Chollas Creek was performed. The sediment samples were collected upstream of tidal influence and just below main tributaries to provide initial screening information about potential sources of bedload sediment contamination available for transport downstream to San Diego Bay. Sediment samples were analyzed for the same chemical parameters as proposed in the U.S. Navy/SCCWRP work. The study performed by the Copermittees provides supplementary information useful to the SCCWRP/Navy investigation at both Chollas and Paleta Creeks in San Diego Bay. The THSWG will utilize the results of this initial Copermittee monitoring program to design the next phase of investigation in San Diego Bay. The THSWG monitoring process is designed to be adaptive and based upon the information elucidated from the results of this initial study.

A.1.6.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

Coastal Outfall Monitoring was conducted and reported by coastal jurisdictions.

A.1.6.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

Program History

A.1.7 2002 – 2003 Objectives and Key Elements

The monitoring conducted in 2002-2003 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 storm season with changes noted in the following subsections.

A.1.7.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Water quality monitoring at the MLS during the 2002-2003 wet-season was conducted following the methods described in Order 2001-01 and established during the 2001-2002 monitoring season. As indicated in the 2001-2002 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report, the MLS at Otay River never received any runoff; therefore, this station was removed from the 2002-2003 monitoring program. The Navy Public Works Center continued to perform monitoring on the Santa Margarita River. The 2002-2003 MLS were:

Santa Margarita River (Navy Site)	Tecolote Creek
San Luis Rey River	San Diego River
Agua Hedionda Creek	Chollas Creek
Escondido Creek	Sweetwater River
San Dieguito River	Tijuana River
Los Peñasquitos Creek	

Total organic carbon (TOC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were added to the analytical requirements for each sample. All other testing and analyses were similar to those performed in 2001-2002 and were conducted in accordance with applicable USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance.

A.1.7.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The 2002-2003 monitoring season was the second year of this program, but the first year for sample collection, analysis and reporting. The program was designed to monitor the greatest potential water quality impact from urban runoff in a bay or lagoon (embayment). Based on a literature review, areas with the smallest sediment grain size and greatest TOC were most likely to reveal the water quality impacts to the receiving water. Due to spatial and temporal variability, these areas are not permanently fixed. Therefore an embayment was most effectively monitored with a two-phase sampling program. In Phase I the embayment was stratified and sampled randomly within strata. These samples were analyzed and ranked based on grain size and TOC concentrations. In Phase II three sites representing areas of finest grain size and highest TOC were selected and resampled. These samples were subjected to toxicological testing and chemical and biological analyses. The selected smaller suite of samples were then tested individually or as a single composite.

Twelve coastal embayments were monitored. These included:

Santa Margarita River Estuary	San Elijo Lagoon
Oceanside Harbor	San Dieguito Lagoon
San Luis Rey River Estuary	Los Peñasquitos Lagoon
Buena Vista Lagoon	Mission Bay
Agua Hedionda Lagoon	Sweetwater River Estuary
Batiquitos Lagoon	Tijuana River Estuary

A.1.7.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

During the 2002-2003 monitoring season, the methods for the rapid stream bioassessment monitoring program remained nearly the same as the previous year, with minor changes. First, the monitoring sites were re-located to correlate with the mass loading stations. This was performed in order to sample two sites of the water body within each hydrologic unit that had a mass loading station. One site was located as far downstream as possible, and the other site was designed to be located as far upstream as possible while still within an urban runoff receiving area. In 2001-2002, the sites were co-located with sites previously monitored by CDFG.

Data analysis was performed utilizing the San Diego Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) score. This score provided a quantitative ranking of sites based on expected reference conditions. Originally, sites were relatively compared to each other utilizing the Benthic Macroinvertebrate Index (BMI).

A.1.7.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring was conducted outside of this monitoring program by the RWQCB, the Port of San Diego, and the City of San Diego in collaboration with SCCWRP and the US Navy.

A.1.7.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

The individual coastal jurisdictions performed and reported on the monitoring efforts.

A.1.7.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

A.1.8 2003 – 2004 Objectives and Key Elements

The monitoring conducted in 2003-2004 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 wet season.

A.1.8.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Water quality monitoring at the MLS during the 2003-2004 wet-season was conducted following the methods described in Order 2001-01 and established during the 2001-2002 monitoring season. As indicated in the 2001-2002 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report, the MLS at Otay River never received any runoff; therefore, this station was removed during the 2002-2003 monitoring program. The Navy Public Works Center continued to perform monitoring on the Santa Margarita River. The 2003-2004 MLS were:

Program History

Santa Margarita River (Navy Site)	Tecolote Creek
San Luis Rey River	San Diego River
Agua Hedionda Creek	Chollas Creek
Escondido Creek	Sweetwater River
San Dieguito River	Tijuana River
Los Peñasquitos Creek	

All testing and analyses were similar to those performed in 2002-2003 and were conducted in accordance with applicable USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance.

A.1.8.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The 2003-2004 monitoring season was the third year of this program, and the second year for sample collection, analysis and reporting. The program was performed as designed in the 2002-2003 monitoring year.

Twelve coastal embayments were monitored. These included:

Santa Margarita River Estuary	San Elijo Lagoon
Oceanside Harbor	San Dieguito Lagoon
San Luis Rey River Estuary	Los Peñasquitos Lagoon
Buena Vista Lagoon	Mission Bay
Agua Hedionda Lagoon	Sweetwater River Estuary
Batiquitos Lagoon	Tijuana River Estuary

A.1.8.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

During the 2003-2004 monitoring season, the methods for the rapid stream bioassessment monitoring program remained the same as the previous year, with minor changes. A couple of the monitoring sites were relocated due to dry conditions. In addition, the San Diego Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) was replaced by a more comprehensive Southern California IBI (Ode et al., in press). The new IBI rating system covers a geographic range from southern Monterey County to the Mexican Border and inland to the eastern extent of the southern Coast Ranges. The new IBI is also tiered to account for elevation effects on benthic communities. The biological metrics used in the new IBI are different, and show a better response to watershed scale and stream reach scale disturbance gradients.

A.1.8.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring was conducted outside of this monitoring program by the RWQCB, the Port of San Diego, and the City of San Diego in collaboration with SCCWRP and the US Navy.

A.1.8.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

The individual coastal jurisdictions performed and reported on the monitoring efforts.

Program History

A.1.8.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

A.1.9 2004 – 2005 Objectives and Key Elements

The monitoring conducted during 2004-2005 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 storm season.

A.1.9.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Water quality monitoring at the MLS during the 2004-2005 wet-season was conducted following the methods described in Order 2001-01 and established during the 2001-2002 monitoring season. As indicated in the 2001-2002 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report, the MLS at Otay River never received any runoff; therefore, this station was removed during the 2002-2003 monitoring program. The Navy Public Works Center did not perform monitoring on the Santa Margarita River during the 2004-2005 season due to sampling equipment being lost in flooding during the first rain event. The Navy indicated they would not sample during the remainder of the 2004-2005 wet-season. The 2004-2005 MLS were:

San Luis Rey River	Tecolote Creek
Agua Hedionda Creek	San Diego River
Escondido Creek	Chollas Creek
San Dieguito River	Sweetwater River
Los Peñasquitos Creek	Tijuana River

All testing and analyses were similar to those performed in 2003-2004 with the exception that the analysis for Chlorpyrifos and Diazinon by the ELISA method was discontinued. Low level Chlorpyrifos and Diazinon analysis by EPA Method 625 (GC/MS) was continued as in the previous year which allows for monitoring of additional organophosphate pesticides. All tests and analyses were conducted in accordance with applicable USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance.

A.1.9.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The 2004-2005 monitoring season was the fourth year of this program, and the third and final year for sample collection, analysis and reporting. The program was performed as designed in the 2002-2003 monitoring year.

Twelve coastal embayments were monitored. These included:

Santa Margarita River Estuary	San Elijo Lagoon
Oceanside Harbor	San Dieguito Lagoon
San Luis Rey River Estuary	Los Peñasquitos Lagoon
Buena Vista Lagoon	Mission Bay
Agua Hedionda Lagoon	Sweetwater River Estuary
Batiquitos Lagoon	Tijuana River Estuary

Program History

A.1.9.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

During the 2004-2005 monitoring season, the methods for the rapid stream bioassessment monitoring program remained nearly the same as the previous year, with minor changes. Several of the monitoring sites were relocated due to dry conditions. The Southern California Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) (Ode et al., 2005) was used for assessment for the 2004-2005 monitoring season. The more comprehensive Southern California IBI replaced the San Diego IBI during the 2003-2004 monitoring season. The Southern California IBI rating system covers a geographic range from southern Monterey County to the Mexican Border and inland to the eastern extent of the southern Coast Ranges. The Southern California IBI is also tiered to account for elevation effects on benthic communities. The biological metrics used in the Southern California IBI are also different, and show a better response to watershed scale and stream reach scale disturbance gradients.

A.1.9.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring was conducted outside of this monitoring program by the RWQCB, the Port of San Diego, and the City of San Diego in collaboration with SCCWRP and the US Navy.

A.1.9.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

The individual coastal jurisdictions performed and reported on the monitoring efforts.

A.1.9.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

A.1.10 2005 – 2006 Objectives and Key Elements

The monitoring conducted in 2005-2006 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 storm season.

A.1.10.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Water quality monitoring at the MLS during the 2005-2006 wet-season was conducted following the methods described in Order 2001-01 and established during the 2001-2002 monitoring season. As indicated in the 2001-2002 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report, the MLS at Otay River never received any runoff; therefore, this station was removed during the 2002-2003 monitoring program. The U.S. Navy performed monitoring for Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton (Camp Pendleton) on the Santa Margarita River for one storm during the 2005-2006 monitoring season. Camp Pendleton voluntarily provides data to the Copermitttees for the Santa Margarita River. The 2005-2006 MLS were:

Santa Margarita River
San Luis Rey River
Agua Hedionda Creek
Escondido Creek
San Dieguito River
Los Peñasquitos Creek

Tecolote Creek
San Diego River
Chollas Creek
Sweetwater River
Tijuana River

All testing and analyses were similar to those performed during 2004-2005. Low level Chlorpyrifos and Diazinon analysis by EPA Method 625 (GC/MS) was continued as in the previous year which allows for monitoring of additional organophosphate pesticides. All tests and analyses were conducted in accordance with applicable USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance. Toxicity identification evaluations were conducted at Chollas Creek and identified synthetic pyrethroids as the causative agent of toxicity.

A.1.10.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring Program completed three years of monitoring during the summer of 2005. The data collected under this program were evaluated to determine if any linkage was observed between sediment conditions in the bays, estuaries, and lagoons and the freshwater conditions at upstream mass loading stations. A final report was prepared and was included as Appendix J in the San Diego County Municipal Copermittees 2005-2006 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report (Weston, 2007).

A.1.10.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

The methods for the rapid stream bioassessment monitoring program during the 2005-2006 monitoring year remained similar as the previous year, but with minor changes. A refinement of the California Stream Bioassessment Procedure (CSBP) was used (Harrington, 2003) that better described sampling techniques for non-typical stream conditions than the old protocol. A new reference site was established on Boulder Creek in the San Diego River WMA that replaced one of the reference sites in the upper Santa Margarita River WMA. All of the core monitoring sites were sampled in the same locations as in 2004-2005, except for the Tijuana River site at Dairy Mart Road (TJ-DM), which was dry in October 2005. The Southern California Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) (Ode et al., 2005) was the primary quantitative data analysis tool for the 2005-2006 monitoring season.

One of the issues concerning the use of the Southern California IBI is that most of the sites monitored for the San Diego County storm water program show a ‘poor’ or ‘very poor’ rating compared to reference conditions. Since the scores are close together in magnitude, it is difficult for managers to discern differences in biological integrity between the sites. Another assessment approach used for making comparisons between sites is based on redefining reference sites to those “least impacted” within a particular set of test sites. This approach allows sites that are more similar in condition to be compared in a relative sense, but is not intended to alter the original qualitative ratings of the sites.

The IBI was rescaled in order to facilitate relative comparisons between the test sites monitored. However, the biological metrics used in the Southern California IBI were shown to be responsive

Program History

to disturbance (Ode et al., 2005). Therefore, the same biological metrics were used, but the individual metric scoring was adjusted to better represent the range of values between the test sites. Rescaling of the scoring matrix was based on the section scoring approach (USEPA, 1998).

In addition to the IBI, data analysis also incorporated a recently developed tool applicable to Southern California, known as the observed over expected (O/E) ratio. The O/E ratio is a predictive model that calculates the ratio of organisms observed at a site to the organisms expected to be at the site. This analysis includes the percent probability of capture and also considers constraints due to in-stream physical habitat conditions.

A.1.10.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring was not conducted as part of this monitoring program.

A.1.10.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

The individual coastal jurisdictions performed and reported on the monitoring efforts.

A.1.10.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

A.1.11 2006-2007 Objectives and Key Elements

Order No. 2001-01 was scheduled to expire on February 21, 2006. The draft tentative order for the next permit cycle was released by the RWQCB (Order R9-2006-0011) on March 10, 2006. A second revision was prepared in June 2006. A revised draft order was then released on August 30, 2006 following comments received during the public comment period. With the delays in finalizing the new permit, the Copermitees were required to perform an additional year of monitoring under the guidelines of Order No. 2001-01. Therefore, the monitoring conducted in 2006-2007 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 storm season. A summary of the wet-weather monitoring program stations from 1993 through 2007 is provided in Table A-2, and the station locations are shown on Figure A-1.

The RWQCB adopted the new permit (Order R9-2007-0001) on January 24, 2007. Monitoring under the new permit began during the 2007-2008 monitoring period. The monitoring conducted in 2006-2007 continued the monitoring program initiated in the 2001-2002 storm season.

A.1.11.1 Water Quality Monitoring at the Mass Loading Stations

Water quality monitoring at the MLS during the 2006-2007 wet-season was conducted following the methods described in Order 2001-01 and established during the 2001-2002 monitoring season. As indicated in the 2001-2002 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report, the MLS at Otay River never received any runoff; therefore, this station was removed during the 2002-2003 monitoring

Program History

program. The U.S. Navy did not perform monitoring for Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton (Camp Pendleton) on the Santa Margarita River during the 2006-2007 monitoring season. Camp Pendleton voluntarily provides data to the Copermittees for the Santa Margarita River. The 2006-2007 MLS were:

San Luis Rey River	Tecolote Creek
Agua Hedionda Creek	San Diego River
Escondido Creek	Chollas Creek
San Dieguito River	Sweetwater River
Los Peñasquitos Creek	Tijuana River

All testing and analyses were similar to those performed during 2005-2006. Low level Chlorpyrifos, Diazinon, and Malathion analysis by EPA Method 625 (GC/MS) was continued as in the previous year which allows for monitoring of additional organophosphate pesticides. Synthetic pyrethroid analysis by GC-MS (NCI Mode) were added to three MLS sites (Agua Hedionda Creek, Tecolote Creek, and Chollas Creek). All tests and analyses were conducted in accordance with USEPA regulations and RWQCB guidance where applicable. Toxicity identification analyses were conducted at Chollas Creek. Confirmatory toxicity identification evaluations were conducted at Chollas Creek (similar to the previous year) and confirmed synthetic pyrethroids as the causative agent of toxicity.

A.1.11.2 Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring

The Ambient Bay, Lagoon, and Coastal Receiving Water Monitoring Program completed three years of monitoring during the summer of 2005. The data collected under this program were evaluated to determine if any linkage was observed between sediment conditions in the bays, estuaries, and lagoons and the freshwater conditions at upstream mass loading stations. A final report was prepared and was included as Appendix J in the San Diego County Municipal Copermittees 2005-2006 Urban Runoff Monitoring Report (Weston, 2007). Monitoring was not conducted during the 2006-2007 monitoring for the ABLM program as it was not required by the permit.

A.1.11.3 Rapid Stream Bioassessment Monitoring

During the 2006-2007 monitoring season, the methods for the rapid stream bioassessment monitoring program remained similar to the previous year. A refinement of the CSBP was used (Harrington, 2003) that better described sampling techniques for non-typical stream conditions. A new reference site was established on Boulder Creek in the San Diego River WMA that replaced one of the reference sites in the upper Santa Margarita River WMA. All of the core monitoring sites were sampled in the same locations as in 2005-2006, except for the Tijuana River site at Dairy Mart Road (TJ-DM), which was dry in October 2006 and May 2007. The site was moved approximately ½ mile upstream to a point just below the concrete flood control channel of Tijuana at the international boundary. The Southern California Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) (Ode et al., 2005) was the primary quantitative data analysis tool for the 2006-2007 monitoring season. The Southern California IBI is a multi-metric rating system which covers a geographic range from southern Monterey County to the Mexican Border and inland to

Program History

the eastern extent of the southern Coast Ranges. It is also tiered to account for elevation effects on benthic communities.

In addition to the IBI, data analysis also incorporated a recently developed tool applicable to Southern California, known as the observed over expected (O/E) ratio. The O/E ratio is a predictive model that calculates the ratio of organisms observed at a site to the organisms expected to be at the site. This analysis is based on the percent probability of capture and also considers constraints due to physical habitat conditions such as geomorphology and rainfall. These considerations may give O/E results that are different than the IBI scores.

A.1.11.4 Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring in San Diego Bay

Toxic Hot Spot Monitoring was not conducted as part of this monitoring program.

A.1.11.5 Coastal Outfall Monitoring

The individual coastal jurisdictions performed and reported on the Coastal Storm Drain Monitoring Program efforts.

A.1.11.6 Dry Weather Analytical and Field Screening Monitoring

Dry weather analytical and field screening monitoring was conducted and reported by each jurisdiction. The results of the dry weather monitoring program are assessed on a watershed and regional basis within this report.

Table A-2. Wet Weather Monitoring Stations 1993-1994 Through 2006-2007.

Site Name	Type	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
NC1-Yuma	Commercial	●	●	●	●	●									
NC2-Park	Residential	●	●	●	●	●									
NC3-Yarrow	Industrial	●	●	●	●	●									
SC1-Jeremy	Residential	●	●	●	●	●									
SC2-Vernon	Industrial	●	●	●	●	●									
SC3-Walmart	Commercial	●	●	●	●	●									
SD1-Top Gun	Construction	●													
SD2-Proctor	Construction	●													
SD3-Carroll	Mass loading	●													
SD4-Rose	Mass loading	●													
SD5-Tecolote	Mass loading	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
SD6-San Diego River	Mass loading	●													
SD7-Switzer	Mass loading	●	●	●	●	●									
SD8-Chollas	Mass loading	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
SD9-Otay*	Mass loading														
SD10-Bramson	Commercial		●	●	●	●									
SD11-Crosby	Industrial		●	●	●	●									
SD12-Landis	Residential		●	●											
SD13-California	Mass loading				●	●	●	●	●						
SV1-Sorrento Valley	Mass loading				●	●	●	●	●						
AH1-Agua Hedionda	Mass loading						●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
AH-Re-Residential	Bacteria						●	●							
AH-Co-Commercial	Bacteria						●	●							
AH-Os-Open Space	Bacteria						●								
AH-L-Lagoon	Bacteria						●	●							
AH-Lc-Lagoon	Bacteria							●							
AH-Lm-Lagoon	Bacteria							●							
AH-Rec-Residential	Bacteria							●							
AH-Coc-Commercial	Bacteria							●							
SMR-Santa Margarita River**	Mass loading									●	●	●		●	
SLR-San Luis Rey River	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
EC-Escondido Creek	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
SDC-San Dieguito Creek	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
PC-Peñasquitos Creek	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
SDR-San Diego River	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
SR-Sweetwater River	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●
OR-Otay River***	Mass loading									●					
TJR-Tijuana River	Mass loading									●	●	●	●	●	●

*This station was established in the 1993/94 wet-weather monitoring season, but was vandalized before any sampling was performed.

**This station was not sampled by the Navy in 2004/05 due to equipment loss during the first rain event. The station was also not monitored during 2006/07.

***This station was decommissioned at the end of the 2001/02 season. No flow was ever recorded at this site.



Figure A-1. Wet Weather Monitoring Stations for 1993 Through 2007.