

## San Diego Regional Storm Water Management Committee Meeting Summary

**Thursday, January 18, 2006, 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.**  
**Chula Vista Public Works Operations Center**  
**1800 Maxwell Road, Chula Vista, CA 91911**

### Copermittee

#### Representatives:

City of Carlsbad – Elaine Lukey	City of National City – Arsalan Dadkhah
City of Coronado –	City of Oceanside – Mo Lahsaie
City of Chula Vista – Khosro Aminpour	City of Poway – Danis Bechter
City of Del Mar –	City of San Diego – Drew Kleiss
City of El Cajon – Michael Griffiths	City of San Marcos – Ken St. Clair
City of Encinitas –	City of Santee – Helen Perry
City of Escondido –	City of Solana Beach – Danny King
City of Imperial Beach – Todd Snyder	City of Vista – Jayne Strommer
City of La Mesa – Malik Tamimi	County of San Diego – Kathleen Flannery
City of Lemon Grove – Cora Long	Port of San Diego – Michelle White
	Regional Airport Authority – Richard Gilb

#### Other Participants:

Sara Agahi – County of San Diego	John Quenzer – DMAX
Kelly Barker – County of San Diego	Hal Schillinger - Kristar
Cecilia Padres – County of San Diego	Chris Stransky – Nautilus Environmental
Roger Morrison – City of Poway	Roshan Sirimanne - MacTec
Kelly Makley – Port of San Diego	Lisa Skutecki – Brown & Caldwell
Kirk Ammerman – City of Chula Vista	Marvin Winters -
Erik Steenblock – City of Chula Vista	Jon VanRhyn – County of San Diego, Chair
Cid Tesoro - County of San Diego	
Robert Zaino – City of Santee	
Jim Hogan - MacTec	
Gretel Roberts – Weston Solutions	
Ralph Vasquez – EBS Environmental	
Ralph Hornbeck - Hunsaker	

### **I. Introductions / Program Updates / Announcements**

Copermittee representatives introduced themselves and provided brief updates on significant recent or upcoming activities. Members of the audience introduced themselves and also made general announcements.

### **II. Administrative Issues**

**IRWM Plan:** a draft report will be out for public comment in March, with the final Plan scheduled for adoption by the County Water Authority, City of San Diego, and County of San Diego in July-August. A Regional Advisory Committee (RAC) has been established to review and guide the draft plan; there are two other Copermittees representing the stormwater and water quality interests on the RAC: Meleah Ashford, and Kirk Ammerman. Project proposals are being accepted until March. Please see the Project Clean Water website for further information and the project submittal form.

**Tentative Order R9-2006-0011** – The draft stormwater permit will be discussed at next week’s RWQCB meeting; the Permit is currently on the agenda for adoption consideration. The County will be speaking

during the Public Comment period regarding the components that were added after the public review period ended. These items include new requirements for Low Impact Development and Priority Development sites.

Annual Reports: JURMP reports will be accepted today if they are ready. Otherwise, please submit them to the County as soon as you can; provide on bound hard copy and three CD's.

### **III. San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Update**

No report

### **IV. Discussion of Potential Shared Costs Budget for FY 2007-08**

Jon Van Rhyn, County of San Diego, presented an overview of potential shared Copermittee costs for FY 2007-08. Because the Tentative Order is still pending, a final recommended budget cannot yet be completed. Copermittees will be asked to formally approve this budget at the March 2007 meeting. Prior to that meeting, a final proposal will be developed and distributed for Copermittee review.

The primary items currently being considered for inclusion in the budget are: monitoring, CASQA membership, regional outreach, hydromodification plan (HMP), and management support. Depending on the outcome of the adopted Permit, costs may need to be added for developing and implementing a low impact development program. Aside from these costs, another cost not currently accounted for within the shared budget, but that should be considered when developing individual budget proposals are the costs that will be associated with maintaining and implementing the watershed plans and programs.

FY 2007-08 costs will increase significantly for monitoring and outreach due to additional requirements contained in the Permit. For monitoring, there will be new elements and additional analytes required. Although Copermittees have already funded the development of a regional education program, new costs in excess of the current level of \$40,000 per year will likely be identified once a work plan is completed. The HMP will also be a large one-time cost to Copermittees. The County is working on drafting a work plan for group consideration. However, developing the HMP itself will cost at least one million dollars over two years.

#### **Discussion:**

- It was suggested that an additional pot of money be allocated for miscellaneous expenses that may come up, such as data management.
- It was asked if the County would be 'fronting' the costs of the programs, and Copermittees billed later, or if the Copermittees would be billed for the total amount upfront, or if the Copermittees would be billed over a period of time. The County replied that bills will hopefully be sent in phases over time.
- Clarification was requested on why costs were not projected in Fiscal Year 2008-09 for the HMP.
- Some participants stated that the costs seemed low, and suggested that we should be conservative in ensuring that there is enough to cover all costs for the programs. Given existing uncertainties, it is prudent to over budget. Additionally, when calculating costs for the HMP plan and any other work that may be contracted out, burdened rates should be used.

### **V. Workgroup Updates**

HMP: The first meeting was very informative; several presenters were available in person and via teleconference to provide advice and ideas on developing a plan for San Diego. Participants included representatives from Contra Costa and Santa Clara. A sub-workgroup was formed to start evaluating cost sharing. One meeting has been held to date; the group discussed whether it would be appropriate or not to use the regional cost share formula. The group generally felt that the regional formula may not be appropriate and/or applicable. Sub-workgroup members will bring formula ideas to the next meeting for further discussion. The next HMP Workgroup meeting will be sometime in February.

MOU: The MOU Workgroup has been determining costs and developing formulas for the Regional Budget and cost share. The group is also continuing to work out guidelines for the division of responsibilities for each scenario: watershed, regional, monitoring, etc.

Education: The Education Outreach Workgroup has planned a Social Marketing Workshop that will be held on February 7 and 8, 2007. This workshop will be the starting ground for forming a regional outreach plan.

**VI. Approval of Unified Annual Report – Common Activities Section**

Copermittees were provided a copy of a single paragraph that had been added after the draft report was sent out. There were no additional comments; the document was unanimously approved by the Copermittees, except for minor editorial and formatting changes.

**VII. Other Business**

Marvin Winters addressed the Copermittees about the improvements that the region has made to address the power-washing industry and the associated runoff and discharges. He commended efforts and pointed out several areas he felt still needed improvement.

**VIII. Next Meeting**

The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Copermittee Management Committee will take place on Thursday, March 15, 2007 in the City of Poway.