

1
2

Meeting Notes

Chairperson Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)

Date / Time	Agenda Summary
05/21/2020 Start time: 1:33 PM End time: 3:32 PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Call to Order • Roll Call • Time for Public to Speak on Items Not on the Agenda • Meeting Summary (VOTE) • Old Business • Budget (VOTE) • Brown Act Compliance • Workgroup and Ad Hoc Subcommittee Updates • WMA Updates • Announcements/Other • Future Meetings
Location	
Online WebEx Meeting due to COVID-19 restrictions	

3

Voting Members in Attendance: (one vote per watershed)		Number of Voting Copermittees at this Meeting: 9/9		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Santa Margarita Watershed:</i> County of San Diego Ruth de la Rosa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Carlsbad Watershed:</i> City of San Marcos Reed Thornberry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Los Peñasquitos Watershed</i> City of Poway Tracy Beach		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Diego River Watershed:</i> City of Santee Cecilia Tipton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Tijuana Watershed:</i> City of Imperial Beach Wbaldo Arellano, Chris Helmer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Luis Rey Watershed</i> City of Oceanside Justin Gamble		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Dieguito Watershed:</i> City of Escondido Alicia Appel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Mission Bay Watershed:</i> City of San Diego Jim Harry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>San Diego Bay Watershed:</i> City of Chula Vista Marisa Soriano		
Non-Voting Members and Members of the Public in Attendance				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> County of San Diego Stephanie Gaines Brianna Martin Scott Norris Ruth de la Rosa Christine Tolchin Chelsea McGimpsey René Vidales Joanna Wisniewska Andrea Araujo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Coronado Jessie Powell	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Port of San Diego Stephanie Bauer		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of El Cajon John Phillips	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Oceanside Ryan Rodman		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of San Diego Heather Krish Brianna Menke Andre Sonksen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities of Del Mar and Solana Beach Kelly Ogawa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of National City Carla Hutchinson	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Carlsbad Tim Murphy Vivi Stevens	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District Rebekah Guill, Matt Yeager		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wood Kristina Hysler, Matt Rich	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Vista Jillian Amaya	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Secretary Hilary Ellis (Michael Baker International)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Larry Walker Associates Paul Hartman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weston Solutions Andrea Crumpacker, Michelle Mattson, Sheri Dister			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Orange County Cindy Rivers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of San Marcos Doug Dowden	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City of Encinitas Paul Maechler		

4 **1. Call to Order**

5 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) called the meeting to order at 1:33 PM. Due to
6 restrictions for mitigating the spread of COVID-19, all meetings are being done online and
7 remotely, except for essential personnel.

8 **2. Roll Call**

9 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) completed roll call for voting members and
10 typical meeting attendees. Other persons participating in the meeting were asked to
11 identify themselves to be included on the attendance sheet.

12 **3. Time for Public to Speak on Items Not on the Agenda**

13 No comments from members of the public.

14 **4. Meeting Summary *Vote***

15 No comments or revisions.

16 **MOTION: Approve the meeting summary for April 16, 2020. (APPROVED)**

- 17
 - Moved by: Ruth de la Rosa (Santa Margarita Watershed, County of San Diego)
 - 18 • Seconded by: Wbaldo Arellano (Tijuana Watershed, City of Imperial Beach)
 - 19 • Vote: 9-0-0-0

20 **5. Old Business**

21 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) reviewed the action items from the March 19
22 meeting. Each action item is listed here in *italics* with the update below it.

- 23 *1. Regarding potential results from Weston's Receiving Water Data Regression Analysis,*
24 *Copermittees to work with Regional Board Staff to ensure financial feasibility will be*
25 *taken into consideration if the results indicate that monitoring should be done sooner*
26 *rather than later.*

27 This action will remain outstanding, dependent on the outcomes of the regression
28 analysis.

- 29 *2. Copermittees to email Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) with names of who*
30 *wants to watch the CASQA quarterly webcast on May 14, so Stephanie can ensure the*
31 *areawide membership is providing sufficient number of logins for access.*

32 Completed. The CASQA webcast was held May 14.

- 33 *3. When released by CASQA (expected end of May), Stephanie Gaines (County of San*
34 *Diego) to email the Trash Amendments Track 2 Guidance Document to Copermittees.*

35 This is due out at the end of May, and Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) will
36 send it out once it is ready.

37 4. *Copermittees to let Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) know if they are interested*
38 *in having Joanna Wisniewska (County of San Diego) give her 2019 CASQA presentation*
39 *on the County's MLOE approach for dry weather source identification at a future PPS*
40 *meeting.*

41 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) heard from only a couple Copermittees. This
42 can certainly be scheduled for a future PPS meeting during the summer.

43 5. *Copermittees to notify Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) and Bri Martin (County*
44 *of San Diego) of any PPS agenda suggestions or ideas on presentations for work*
45 *products, work plans, etc., to further collaboration among the Copermittees.*

46 This is an ongoing action item. Copermittees are asked to notify Bri Martin (County of
47 San Diego) and Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) at least one week in advance
48 of meetings for agenda and materials preparation, preferably two weeks in advance.

49 6. *Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) will send a detailed proposal for Copermittees*
50 *to consider moving funds between PPS budget tasks to cover meeting support for the*
51 *remainder of this fiscal year. It will be a voting item at the next PPS meeting.*

52 This was sent and is a voting item for this meeting.

53 7. *For future PPS agendas, Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) to remove the*
54 *Legislative Analysis Ad Hoc Subcommittee Update.*

55 The agenda item has been temporarily removed. It will go back on the agenda when
56 there are items to discuss.

57 8. *Santa Margarita River Watershed representatives to send Copermittees the notes*
58 *from discussing adaptive watershed management topics with Erica Ryan (Regional*
59 *Board).*

60 These notes were sent out last night.

61 **6. Budget**

62 The remaining budget for the current fiscal year was discussed at the last meeting. As
63 mentioned then, \$6,966.68 from Task 2A, Regulatory Support, is proposed for transfer to
64 Task 1A, PPS Meeting Support, to cover meeting support for the remainder of the current
65 fiscal year.

66 Copermittees had no additional comments or discussion on the budget transfer request.

67 **MOTION: Reallocate \$6,966.68 from Task 2A Regulatory Support budget to Task 1A**
68 **Program Planning Subcommittee Meeting Support. (APPROVED)**

- 69
- Moved by: Alicia Appel (San Dieguito Watershed, City of Escondido)
 - Seconded by: Marisa Soriano (San Diego Bay Watershed, City of Chula Vista)
 - Vote: 9-0-0-0
- 70
71

72 **7. Brown Act Compliance**

73 The purpose of the Brown Act (California) is to allow members of the public to participate
74 in activities their government undertakes. The goal is transparency in government
75 actions. Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) reminded Copermittees the Regional
76 Management Committee (RMC) and any subcommittees thereof are considered Brown
77 Act bodies, per Copermittee counsel opinions provided during the Memorandum of
78 Understanding (MOU) establishment and revisions. The 4th MOU Amendment (2019)
79 made revisions to allow watershed working groups to work in a more streamlined fashion.
80 The watershed working groups, created by the Permit (not by the RMC), are not Brown
81 Act bodies. In the process of the 4th MOU Amendment, some process description was
82 removed and has caused some confusion with pre-established workgroups. The Land
83 Development Workgroup discussed the Brown Act and process issues at their meeting
84 yesterday. They are discussing how to better run meetings by either becoming a working
85 body under the PPS, similar to how the Education and Outreach Workgroup functions, or
86 developing a set of guidelines and by-laws for themselves to cover how they operate.
87 Discussion ensued on the type of actions that are required to take place in the public
88 forum; for example, voting is considered an action by the Brown Act, and must be
89 conducted in a public forum.

90 Copermittees requested further clarification on how Copermittee working bodies and ad
91 hoc subcommittees are subject to the Brown Act. Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)
92 explained, by Copermittees' attorneys' determinations, the RMC meets the definition and
93 intent of the Brown Act, and any workgroup that it creates, subsequently, is also a
94 legislative body subject to the Brown Act. There is a small caveat, opined by County
95 Counsel: if less than a quorum of the voting members of the body that created the
96 working body meets for efforts on a work product, then they are not required to notice
97 the meeting per the Brown Act. They do, however, have to bring their discussion and
98 recommendations back to the working group that created them. For example, if the PPS
99 created an ad hoc subcommittee that includes a maximum of three voting members, then
100 meetings of that ad hoc subcommittee would not be required to notice the meeting, but
101 they would need to articulate, describe, and bring forward discussions and
102 recommendations on their task at future PPS meeting.

103 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) presented slides describing the working body
104 structure for the Copermittees under the MOU.

- 105 • Regional Management Committee (RMC): The RMC is a legislative body with all
106 21 Copermittees as voting members. The MOU requires the RMC meet at least
107 once annually to adopt the fiscal year work plan and budget.
- 108 • Program Planning Subcommittee (PPS): The PPS is a working body under the RMC.
109 The PPS gets work products done and issues discussed, and the PPS sends
110 recommendations to the RMC.

- 111 • Education and Outreach Workgroup: The Education and Outreach Workgroup
112 was previously created by the RMC or PPS and now functions as a subcommittee
113 under the PPS.
- 114 • Land Development Workgroup: The Land Development Workgroup was
115 previously formed by the RMC or PPS. The Land Development Workgroup is
116 considering modifying their structure to function as a subcommittee under the
117 PPS, similar to the Education and Outreach Workgroup.
- 118 • The Education and Outreach Workgroup and the Land Development Workgroup
119 use consensus-type votes (i.e., of the attendees at meeting, a simple majority
120 vote means consensus on agenda items). When these workgroups bring their
121 consensus recommendations to the PPS, the nine PPS voting members carry
122 those decisions forward. Each subcommittee must report to the PPS, and then
123 the PPS can take action at the next meeting. It does require two PPS meetings for
124 a vote recommended by the workgroups, which is the appropriate way for
125 parliamentary procedure, per counsel.
- 126 • Ad Hoc Subcommittees: All working bodies can create ad hoc subcommittees. An
127 ad hoc subcommittee is a working group that is of limited duration (less than one
128 year) and for a singularly focused topic. Examples include the WQIP Ad Hoc
129 Subcommittee, Trash Amendments Ad Hoc Subcommittee, and Project Clean
130 Water Ad Hoc Subcommittee. The purpose of an ad hoc subcommittee is to take
131 a topic or an action, work on it offline, and bring back recommendations to the
132 larger body for a decision or action. Ad hoc subcommittees help the Copermittees
133 work in a more streamlined fashion as they are intended to have a limited number
134 of participants; not all 21 Copermittees are intended to work on an ad hoc
135 subcommittee.

136 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) will develop some one-page guidelines describing
137 parliamentary procedure for ad hoc subcommittees and send them through County
138 Counsel for opinion, so that working bodies in the future will have proper guidelines on
139 how to operate.

140 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) reminded Copermittees when they lead an ad
141 hoc subcommittee there is availability for meeting support (e.g., notetaker, meeting
142 facilitator), with PPS approval.

143 **8. Workgroup and Ad Hoc Subcommittee Updates**

144 ***Land Development Workgroup***

145 René Vidales (County of San Diego) recapped the most recent Land Development
146 Workgroup meeting. As mentioned by Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego), the
147 workgroup discussed its structure and the Brown Act. From the meeting discussion, it is
148 expected the Land Development Workgroup will become a working body underneath the
149 PPS in the organizational structure and will use consensus voting.

150 The Land Development Workgroup had not met in a while and did hold their first WebEx
151 meeting yesterday. They will continue having WebEx or other teleconference as an option
152 moving forward.

153 There were three excellent presentations at the meeting. Christian Braun (Port of San
154 Diego) shared the Tenth Avenue Marine Terminal (TAMT) modular wetland installation,
155 including challenges and design details. Elizabeth Fassman-Beck (SCCWRP) introduced
156 herself and gave two presentations: one on her background and current and future scope
157 of SCCWRP projects, and one on BMP performance, including vegetative cover, mulch,
158 and maintenance. The Land Development Workgroup formed an ad hoc subcommittee
159 to further discuss BMP performance and related issues. The City of San Diego and City of
160 Santee volunteered to co-chair the ad hoc subcommittee, and three other Copermittees
161 are participating. Copermittees interested in participating on the BMP Performance Ad
162 Hoc Subcommittee are asked to notify René Vidales (County of San Diego).

163 The Land Development Workgroup also discussed the BMP Design Manual table of edits
164 posted on Project Clean Water, correspondence from the Regional Board about
165 clarification on PDPs and full depth street replacement, the first three quarters'
166 expenditures, and the BMP Design Manual Help Desk Q&A log. All Copermittees are
167 encouraged to promote the BMP Design Manual Help Desk as a resource to Copermittees
168 and the general public, which could be as simple as providing a link from their web pages.

169 The next Land Development Workgroup meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, July 22,
170 from 9 AM to 12 PM.

171 ***Regional Monitoring Ad Hoc Subcommittee***

172 Heather Krish (City of San Diego) shared there is no update on the runoff coefficient
173 calculation methodology (C-value). The Regional Board indicated at the end of last year
174 they would respond to the Copermittees, but there has been no response to date. This
175 issue is currently unresolved.

176 The City of San Diego is no longer able to lead the Regional Monitoring Ad Hoc
177 Subcommittee. Without a lead, the subcommittee would be disbanded. The
178 Copermittees discussed options, including possible use of consultants. Consultant
179 support options range from meeting notes and facilitation to a separate task order for
180 in-depth consultant involvement in issue exploration and presentation. Stephanie Gaines
181 (County of San Diego) will include on the June PPS agenda an item for discussion of
182 consultant support options for continuing work of the Regional Monitoring Ad Hoc
183 Subcommittee.

184 In addition to the current unresolved C-value issue, Alicia Appel (San Dieguito Watershed,
185 City of Escondido) also suggested the Regional Monitoring Ad Hoc Subcommittee could
186 address groundwater identification methodology.

187 Stormwater Monitoring History and the Regional Board

188 Andre Sonksen (City of San Diego) provided an update on an effort being undertaken by
189 the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, and representatives from Riverside and
190 Orange County. This effort is separate from the RMC/PPS working group structure.

191 At a previous meeting, Regional Board staff demonstrated they did not have a clear
192 understanding of the stormwater monitoring history nor how monitoring fits into the
193 Permit requirements. The Copermittees involved in this effort set out to educate them.

194 The group has had one meeting with Regional Board Staff where they presented the
195 history of stormwater monitoring from the 2001 permit to the current permit. They will
196 have another meeting to reach an agreement on understanding the questions monitoring
197 should answer, both from the Regional Board's perspective and the Copermittees'
198 perspectives, to promote further clarity in monitoring programs for the permit.

199 Tim Murphy (City of Carlsbad) asked if an abridged version of the stormwater monitoring
200 history as presented to Regional Board staff could also be presented to the PPS. Andre
201 Sonksen (City of San Diego) will coordinate with Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)
202 regarding a presentation on the history of stormwater monitoring in San Diego, either by
203 sending a PDF to Copermittees, presenting live at a future PPS meeting, or both.

204 Regression Analysis Update

205 Andrea Crumpacker (Weston Solutions) reminded the Copermittees this task is to
206 determine when Copermittees may need to conduct receiving water monitoring since
207 permit reissuance is delayed. They have discussed different data analysis approaches. A
208 Monte Carlo simulation analysis into the future would assume a certain trendline.
209 Analysis of past data would show how regression trend results change as samples are
210 removed. With wet weather data only, they have run regression-based trend analysis of
211 past data, removing sampling points and re-running the analysis until achieving a 10-year
212 gap. They evaluated 21 analytes at the 10 MLS sites and tried to use analytes on the high
213 priority list from each Water Quality Improvement Plan. Weston will now summarize
214 these results to best support decision-making and evaluate the variability of the datasets.
215 The draft memorandum should be done May 29, 2020. The final memorandum will be
216 completed two weeks after receiving comments on the draft. Weston will present the
217 regression analysis at the June PPS meeting.

218 ***Project Clean Water Ad Hoc Subcommittee***

219 Chelsea McGimpsey (County of San Diego) provided a brief update on Project Clean
220 Water. A host is still needed for Project Clean Water after the contract with IMG (DMI)
221 ends on May 31, 2021. Perspecta, the IT manager for the County of San Diego, is expected
222 to provide a response. Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) said if Perspecta manages
223 it, it likely would switch to a sdcounty.ca.gov/projectccleanwater web address and
224 assume a similar look to the rest of the County of San Diego web pages.

225 ***Education and Outreach Workgroup***

226 Chelsea McGimpsey (County of San Diego) provided an update for the Education and
227 Outreach Workgroup. The Copermittees had approved \$20,000 in Fiscal Year 19-20 for
228 event sponsorships, and the workgroup had selected four events for sponsorship at
229 \$5,000 each event. Due to COVID-19, two of the four selected events were cancelled and
230 another one is postponed. To continue education and outreach during this time, the
231 workgroup is recommending to the PPS to shift those funds to allow for a summertime
232 media buy of simple messaging through radio. The recommendation is two-fold. First, the
233 workgroup recommends shifting the MIG timeline to allow for an immediate \$15,000
234 media buy through the marketing campaign contract. This media buy would include
235 broadcasting from June through August across 17 stations, including talk, classic rock,
236 music, sports, Spanish, and news stations. It would allow continuation of outreach and
237 promotion of the Project Clean Water name. It also supports some of the initial
238 movements for the behavior change marketing campaign. The second part of the
239 workgroup's recommendation to the PPS is for \$15,000 from the cancelled Fiscal Year 19-
240 20 event sponsorships to be carried forward to Fiscal Year 20-21 and then applied to the
241 MIG contract by amendment to supplant the task order shift in years. This would allow
242 for the originally planned media buy in later years of the marketing campaign contract.

243 The Copermittees did not have comments or questions on this recommendation.
244 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) will add this to the June PPS agenda for voting.

245 ***WQIP Ad Hoc Subcommittee***

246 Paul Hartman (LWA) explained LWA was asked to support the WQIP Ad Hoc
247 Subcommittee with regionally applicable areas of the Regional Board's FY17-18 WQIP
248 Annual Report Review letters. At the last subcommittee meeting, LWA presented the
249 spreadsheet that covers the items requested by the subcommittee, including
250 summarizing the annual report letter issues by watershed, compiled tables of strategies
251 by WQIP for addressing agricultural lands and homeless encampments, and regional
252 analysis of the updated 303(d) listings compared by watershed to the 2010 listings. LWA
253 provided the Excel file to the County of San Diego on May 12, after which it was sent to
254 the Copermittees.

255 At the last subcommittee meeting, LWA was asked to develop language that could be
256 included in the WQIPs to acknowledge that agencies are implementing strategies to
257 address a variety of sources in the watersheds that may be contributing the PWQCs
258 and/or HPWQCs, but that implementation of the actions within those strategies to
259 address particular source(s) do not signify that the agency is taking full responsibility for
260 controlling the particular source(s) (e.g., agriculture, homeless encampments). The first
261 draft of that language will be presented at the next subcommittee meeting, scheduled for
262 June 3 in the afternoon.

263 **9. WMA Updates**

264 This portion of the agenda is for watershed management area groups to provide updates
265 or ask questions relevant to other watersheds.

- 266 • Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) suggested discussion among the
267 Copermittees on requesting operational relief from the Regional Board for this
268 year and possibly future years through reduced reporting requirements, since
269 many jurisdictions have been economically impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic
270 and annual reports are quite costly. Several Copermittees shared this idea has
271 been discussed in other forums, including with management, watershed groups,
272 and ad hoc subcommittees. There is general support for further movement on
273 this issue as the impact would not affect water quality since it would be
274 requesting lighter administrative tasks. The WQIP Ad Hoc Subcommittee will
275 include on their June 3 agenda discussion of a regional approach to request
276 reduced annual reporting from the Regional Board.

277 **10. Announcements / Other**

278 Justin Gamble (San Luis Rey Watershed, City of Oceanside) asked if other Copermittees
279 submitted COVID-19 relief letters to the Regional Board and if they received responses.
280 Earlier this month, the City of Oceanside received a formal response from the Regional
281 Board, signed by the Executive Officer, to their March request for compliance date
282 extensions. They received extensions with contingencies, specifically to provide monthly
283 written updates to the Regional Board on their progress toward the compliance dates.
284 Brianna Menke (City of San Diego) shared she received a response this morning but has
285 not reviewed it in detail yet. On a cursory review, it appears they denied some requests
286 and approved others. Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) indicated the County also
287 received a response but has not thoroughly digested it yet. Stephanie Gaines (County of
288 San Diego) will confirm with management about sharing their formal response from the
289 Regional Board regarding County's request for relief. Marisa Soriano (City of Chula Vista)
290 shared the City of Chula Vista has not received their response yet.

291 I Love a Clean San Diego is still doing a clean-up event with social distancing. Stephanie
292 Gaines (County of San Diego) will email the Copermittees with the latest information on
293 the June clean-up event.

294 **11. Future Meetings**

295 The next WQIP Ad Hoc Subcommittee meeting is June 3 from 1 to 3 pm.

296 The next PPS meeting is June 18 from 1:30 to 3:30 pm, with agenda topics requested by
297 June 11.

298 Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) adjourned the meeting at 3:32 PM.

299

ACTION ITEMS

#	Action	Responsible Party(ies)	Due Date
1	Copermittees with questions on Brown Act or the Regional Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) can contact Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego).	All Copermittees	Ongoing
2	WQIP Ad Hoc Subcommittee to include on their June 3 agenda discussion of a regional approach to request reduced annual reporting from the Regional Board.	WQIP Ad Hoc Subcommittee	6/3/2020
3	Copermittees to notify Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) and Bri Martin (County of San Diego) of any PPS agenda suggestions or ideas on presentations for work products, work plans, etc., to further collaboration among the Copermittees.	All Copermittees	6/11/2020
4	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) to include on June PPS agenda an item for discussion of consultant support options for continuing work of the Regional Monitoring Ad Hoc Subcommittee.	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)	6/11/2020
5	When released by CASQA, Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) to email the Trash Amendments Track 2 Guidance Document to Copermittees.	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)	6/18/2020
6	Copermittees interested in participating on Ad Hoc Subcommittee to discuss BMP Performance (as related to vegetative cover, mulch, and maintenance) to notify René Vidales (County of San Diego).	Interested Copermittees	6/18/2020
7	Andre Sonksen (City of San Diego) to coordinate with Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) regarding presentation on history of stormwater monitoring in San Diego, either sending PDF to Copermittees, presenting live at a future PPS meeting, or both.	Andre Sonksen (City of San Diego), Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)	6/18/2020
8	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) to confirm with management and then share formal response from Regional Board regarding County's request for relief.	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)	6/18/2020
9	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego) to email Copermittees with latest information on the June clean-up event being coordinated by I Love a Clean San Diego.	Stephanie Gaines (County of San Diego)	6/18/2020

#	Action	Responsible Party(ies)	Due Date
10	Regarding potential results from Weston's Receiving Water Data Regression Analysis, Copermittees to work with Regional Board Staff to ensure financial feasibility will be taken into consideration if the results indicate that monitoring should be done sooner rather than later.	All Copermittees	TBD (after analysis complete)

300